

UC Gen Minutes  
11/22/15

### **Updates**

- 76, 12, 13% voted yes/no/abstain on the sexual assault policy referendum
- 31, 56, 13% voted yes/no/abstain on Pusey library referendum
- 43% voter turnout
- Send out shuttle emails and also volunteer to staff the shuttle!
- Website ready to go, shoutout to Daniel Levine!
- Talk to JSO if you're interested in parliamentarian!

### **FiCom Grants Pack 9**

- Split into regular and Wintersession

**Passed by unanimous consent**

### **FiCom Policy Update**

- Any suggestions - come to the next FiCom meeting

### **HBS/UC Collaboration**

- Very cool program, a lot of engagement between undergrads and Kennedy school students; trying to break a barrier.

**Passed by unanimous consent**

### **FCC Retroactive Poster Making**

**Passed by voice vote**

### **New Business: HSA/UC Partnership Party Kits**

- Originally air mattresses: very bad implementation
- Well researched

### **Considered by the Council**

- UC mostly responsible for publicity
- Program where we rent out speakers for free- does this mean we will be running two simultaneous speaker rental programs? Yes
- HSA actually shouldering more of the cost
- DAPA Partnership?
- Expedite DAPA grants for those who order the party kits
- Issues with freshmen renting this? \*\*Yes, need to consider this since freshmen are dry\*\*
- my.harvard site - will this be on the website?

- Why does it make sense for us to upfront purchase 9 tables and etc - survey results tend to be inaccurate. Lot of confidence with the survey - 230 responses. Pretty representative sample. Also asked house deans and house admins and well over 30 parties are thrown a week. With advertising campaign, overwhelming majority say they want the tables or speakers.

Pro/Con:

- Are these reusable cups? How are we going to pay for them consistently? HSA is the one that pays for them. \$184 this semester, and replenishing next semester. Just plastic cups.
- What about an overload of groups? All operations on HSA's end.
- Why does profit differ significantly for spring and fall? Because of upfront costs - won't have to
- Con: New business - reflective of the fact that perhaps planning wasn't done early, wastes time in meetings, and if it goes through a committee, more refined. Vote against because of new business.
- Pro: Month and a half of talks. Always intended to start in the spring. Also SLC didn't meet this week because of Harvard-Yale.
- Con: Would benefit with another read-through by a committee. Is there any particular reason this needs to get passed right now? Jullian is the only person working on this.
- Pro: Institutional backing on HSA's end to continue this project? Will this die a death in the corner somewhere? Yes but Jullian's successor is still training, won't be taking this on until February.
- Con: Any willingness in part of HSA to participate in outreach/publicize? HSA allocated money for marketing - thinking door-drops, etc
- Pro: While rules and bureaucracy are important, we are students first and extracurriculars come second.

**Amendment: insert "From crazy ideas fund" after \$900**

- Should have a place to take the money from
- Should we specify where money goes when we make money?
- ^ see next amendment!!
- Why are we taking this money from Crazy Ideas Fund instead of Ops budget? Money is fungible.
- We're taking money from student fee and then making a profit off it?
- Are we introducing a middleman to move student fees into personal piggybank?
- Currently all that happens is that this amendment is saying that costs are absorbed from crazy ideas fund. Does not move any money to any other place in this amendment.

➤ **Passed by roll call vote, 31-1-2**

**Anyone object to money going back into Crazy Ideas Fund? By unanimous consent**

**Passed: Roll call 31-2-0**

**Question/Comment Period:**

➤ Why roll call?

- Think through votes more thoroughly
- More efficient in how we conduct ourselves
- "I will continue to call for roll call votes" - Isaac