

12/6/2015
LAST UC GEN

Prez Updates

- Sexual Assault Updates coming

Dhruv & Ava Speeches <3

Grants Pack 10

- **Passed by voice vote**

Ventfull Continuation Act

- Some of the goals have earlier deadlines, but all have until May 2016
- Is this a hard commitment from the administration? Yes, verbal commitment
- Metrics? Focus group? Included in email document. Targeting juniors this upcoming spring.
- Con: These focus groups? Focusing on if this app is making Harvard students' lives better - more than just a suggestion box.
- Pro: Have we considered buying the code from the person so we just don't have to keep buying it? Option on the table to buy Ventfull entirely as a company...
- Con: Students aren't using this app. Gave it more time. Numbers again -still not very popular. 232-460 people use it on a daily basis. Not very many people use it.
- Pro: If this is actually beneficial, should we stop pushing for this now? When this was reaching the freshman class, it was incredibly successful . Soft failure of the UC to market this body. It's worth \$1200 to have a calendar for the entire student body - potentially \$0 later.
- Con: Don't know people who have ever used Ventfull. Maybe start from scratch - Ventfull seems like a pretty big failure. The demand doesn't really exist- sinking money
- Pro: Strange judgment to be passed about emails opening- most people delete emails pretty quickly.
- Con: Thank you Ava and Dhruv for all the work they've done. Parallels with same arguments we heard last year. It's time to call it quits - we don't need to be pouring more money into this project.
- Pro: We haven't evaluated the *value* of Ventfull - what does this add to the students' lives, the students who are using this? At least use these months to figure that data out.

- Con: Just one small failure. Shouldn't be trying to take this small failure and turn it into a success. Why haven't we evaluated this app already? Why aren't we pouring this money into something like more blank parties- that's how we create social life!
- Pro: If you're against something - should have an alternative to replace it. Reflect poorly to vote against this, the first piece of legislation in S&D's tenure.
- Con: Lack of marketing or poor implementation of the app. Issue is that sure, we can pay more money, but we're still stuck with the same implementation. Can't contribute our own code to. Either buy it out or create our own app. Letting it go doesn't mean it's a failure - don't need an alternative - can spend money on more things.
- Pro: Feedback from students? Point of focus groups and this is why we have quantitative and qualitative metrics.
- Con: When I go on Ventfull - want to see what to do on Friday night - not the things that are currently on Ventfull (like peer counseling). What happens if we don't pass this now? Can we do research over winter break? Why right now? Because Ventfull has offered us to buy their entire code, if we don't pass this, that offer will go to dust. Also offers a lot of benefit in passing this legislation.
- Pro: Really important point: we do have the possibility of buying the company in the future and then we can tailor the code. Want six months period. After six months, then we can turn our backs if this is a failure.

PASSED 26-14-4

Operational Spending

Tabled!

Shaiba and Danny Sworn In!

Secretary Election

Anna Wechsler - thanks all I really appreciate it!

Treasurer Election

Congrats Samarth Gupta!