

1/31/16
UC Gen

Community Time

Dean Dingman: This year's freshmen are boss. We are worker bees, and he is excited to work with us.

EAGG: Dean Lassonde used to come to UC meetings every week. Is this something that will continue?

Victor: Could we help select the last few candidates for Dean of Student Life?

Welcome to our new Crimson reporter, Brian!

Presidential Updates

- Social chair is up for grabs! UC SWAG. Needs to happen.
- Ventfull: Because we were unable to edit the code, we chose not to take the code and the Ventfull partnership is off.
- Looking for informal meetings with the Board of Overseers.
- First monthly meeting with Dean Khurana this Friday - let S&D know if you want something to be brought up during that conversation
- Rotating UC Gens - are open to the entire school! Trying to get to the constituents and lower the barrier to entry. Will be a little bit tougher but when we start entering different neighborhoods, the reps will be playing different parts.
- Spring Elections: 10 open spots. List be will released. February 9th-12, including simultaneous campaigning/voting. Want more diversity - targeted pub outreach. Be on top of emails.

New Rules Chair: Daniel Levine!

- Facilitate discussion and moderate Rules committee.

New Parliamentarian: Cameron K!

Committee Updates

- Ed Com: Star/LMD Awards. Nominate!! Continuing partnership with HBX
- Fi Com: Greenlaw has been working hard. Trying to automate things, more data collection. "Quite wicked."
- FCC: Printers in almost every dorm, hot food at brain break (unprecedented), air hockey table in Wigg, and more things to come!!
- SIC: February will be "hopping": think food market, establishing a partnership with Datamatch
- SLC: goals this semester include making campus accessible, gender-neutral bathrooms, as well as outreach to people on student-faculty committees, summer housing problems, Al's sexual health event
- **Also alumni event! This Friday!**
- SRC: Meeting with CAARE, OSAPR to discuss the referenda

Operational Spending Act

- Not an overly complicated piece of legislation
- Rules committee unanimous in interpretation of two conflicting constitutional rules
- Want to be responsible to constituents
- Secretarial error: "by a simple majority vote, the Executive vote, the full Council"....
- Some secretarial errors -> tabled

Tabled: 36-1-1, roll call vote

New Projector

- How was this docketed? Electronic vote
- Important point: electronic votes should not be substitutes for meetings

Amendment: Replace Operation funds with SIC fund

Voted to be considered by a hand vote: more than 1/3

- Initiative for students-> therefore from SIC's budget
- Q: Never had a piece of legislation where one committee voted to take funds from another committee without latter committee voting on it?
- Q: Leave docketing info? Yes, leave it even if amendments have been made
- *Should say recommended, not docketed
- Q: Different source? This seems to be a student initiative, most appropriate.
- Q: Could this procedure apply to other committees, such as FCC? Yes

Table? Hand vote: 14-22-1 -> not being tabled

Con: Yes, this is a duty of SIC, but a bad precedent, especially since SRC docketed it. Goes against what SIC does. Other thing: SIC is term bill fund, like Ops.

Pro: It is the students' money. So it is not "our" money and as it is the students' money, they have asked for it. Only \$340. Makes very clear sense. They've also been asking for this for weeks and it makes us look inefficient if we can't get this for them.

Con: To take away autonomy of committees in which they can decide what they specifically do. Should just return to Operations fund and then from here on out, perhaps do things differently.

Pro: Operations money is our money and SIC money is from students. Should come from students' term bill.

Point of Info: Ops budget is 9,000. Rollover always goes into Ops

Motion to extend Pro/Con by 2 more cycles? Passed

Con: In the future, something that SIC should discuss. Right now, SIC has a lot of events on the horizon. SIC has been working really hard over break to move money around and work with the budget - \$340 is a lot. Disrespectful to SIC to undermine their right to vote on it.

Pro: Increasing trend of territoriality. Allocated by entire council to be spent. These are not pots of money to be controlled by one council. Strength of UC is that we are so flexible. Dangerous road to go down.

Con: Imprudent for another committee that doesn't have insights to try to push something through UC Gen that takes a lot of debate when it would be much more efficient for it to go through said committee

Pro: Should wait until SIC discusses it.

Motion to table legislation by roll call vote: 26-9-2

Questions/Comments

- Every committee should have someone on Rules; otherwise, Shaiba and Danny can appoint people to be on Rules
- Will there be Ventfull alternatives? Talking to Lori and administration
- Don't do a good job at letting know students know where our meetings are. Even more difficult if we're rotating. Need to let people know in advance. We will schedule every meeting in advance so that people know asap. Perhaps also include in mailmerge?
- Should FCC send a member to Rules? Freshmen, apply if you're interested!
- Organizations that Yaz has been reaching out to?
- West River houses aren't accessible, important to note for UC Gen
- Overusing roll call votes - they're significant
- If interested in CUE ("need new blood"): tell Scott!